Evaluation of the Drought Trend Alongside of Change Point: A Study of the Purulia District in West Bengal, India
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Abstract
Since the drought is a significant issue in India, therefore, the scientists should pay close attention in order to manage it. Purulia District experienced the drought on numerous occasions in the past ten years, but the accurate and definite conclusions have not arrived yet. Hence, the Standardized Precipitation Index (SPI) for the 34 years (1979–2014) was utilised in this research together with the change point to evaluate the annual and seasonal drought in the Purulia District. Theil Sen’s slope and the Mann-Kendal (MK) test were both employed to determine the trend and its’ magnitude. A trend-free pre-whitening technique was used to remove the influence of lag-1 correlation data from the series. The 1988-89 was marked as the best probable single change (shift) point in the time series of SPI. By calculating the percentage deviation from the SPI’s mean trend, the magnitude of the change was calculated. The percentage change of Sen’s slope and MK test was high (+ve) at the eastern parts of Purulia, whereas it was observed as the smallest at the southern parts of the region. Thus, it could be concluded that the drought had begun to spread to the study region’s eastern sections after the change point. As Purulia is dependent on agricultural crop production, this research would significantly contribute to agricultural planning following the local level management of crop water and rain-water harvesting. Therefore, this research is extremely important for the drought risk management on a regional scale.

Introduction
Drought is a recurring, naturally occurring climatic phenomenon that cannot be avoided.¹,² The nature and intensity of droughts are related to the lack of precipitation, which further leads to the decreasing trends in the soil moisture.¹,³ Droughts occur in almost all climatic regions with varying intensities and trends.⁴ Over 4 types of droughts,
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Meteorological drought is the most common and complex in nature.\textsuperscript{6,7} In recent times, researchers from all over the world are more concerned about the spatio-temporal assessment of meteorological drought. For example, Spinoni et al.\textsuperscript{8} analysed the drought database (from 1951 to 2016) in three spatial scales (i.e., global, macro-regional, and country scale). Abbassian et al.\textsuperscript{9} evaluated the drought on the Lake Urmia Basin by introducing a new precipitation-temperature deciles index. Pandey et al.\textsuperscript{10} estimated the drought of Central India using the TRMM version 7 (TRMM-3B43 V7) precipitation data from 1981 to 2016. They found that the drought was shifting from the West towards the East. Datta et al.\textsuperscript{11} estimated meteorological drought during 1960-2013 over the Ghatprabha river basin and found its' increasing trend between 2005 to 2013. Bisht et al.\textsuperscript{12} evaluated the drought of India using 9 projected global circulation models and found the severity of the droughts in the eastern sections of India. Kar et al.\textsuperscript{13} measured the drought of West Bengal at several time steps and found its' extremity in Western portions of the West Bengal, especially over the Purulia. Kundu and Mondal\textsuperscript{14} analyzed the trends of the precipitation time series during 1901-2002 over West Bengal and found its' decreasing nature over Purulia. They had further concluded the necessity of assessment of drought on this tract. Considering such contexts, assessment of the drought trends over the Purulia is a noble attempt. There are different meteorological drought indices such as; Rainfall Anomaly Index (RAI), Effective Drought Index (EDI), China Z Index (CZI), and Standardized Precipitation Evapotranspiration Index (SPEI)). Standardized Precipitation Index (SPI) is considered in this research because of its' flexibility and adaptability at different climatic regions.\textsuperscript{15-18} Also, the fundamental advantage of SPI is its' capability of monitoring both dry conditions as well as the wet periods in long- and short-term time scales and duration.\textsuperscript{15} Therefore, considering those flexibilities of SPI and following the recommendation of World Meteorological Organisation,\textsuperscript{20} this research has utilized the SPI to detect drought at yearly and seasonal scales.

Similar to this, a number of parametric and non-parametric tests have been extensively utilised globally to identify trends, their amplitude, and their change point in both meteorological and hydrological time series. Non-parametric tests yield better results than the parametric method, when the meteorological variables are skewed from the normal distribution.\textsuperscript{21} Mahajan and Dodamani\textsuperscript{22} estimated the tendency (trend) of drought over the Krishna basin at southern Maharashtra using the Mann-Kendal trend and Sen's slope. They found the monsoon phase with a positive trend (2 significant positive trends), while the pre-monsoon time scale was noted with a negative trend (at 41 stations). During the post-monsoon season, no significant trend was detected. Sharma and Goyal\textsuperscript{23} estimated the drought trends of India for the last decade (1901 to 2002) using the Sen's slope value. They found eastern and north-eastern portions with an upward trend and southern regions with decreasing trends. Vishwakarma et al.\textsuperscript{24} discussed droughts (during 1988-2018) of Sagar, division of Madhya Pradesh using the Mann Kendall test. A significant positive trend was marked by them in Pre-monsoon (PM) and Post-monsoon seasons (PMW). Bhunia et al.\textsuperscript{25} discovered the change point in the time scales of precipitation (in the Purulia) and found its' shifting nature during 1990s. Further, they stressed over the micro-level assessment of the drought phenomena to understand the local level climatic features meticulously. Das et al.\textsuperscript{26} analyzed drought during the Indian summer-monsoon period using Mann Kendall test (MK) and Sen's slope. They discovered a positive trend of drought in India's eastern regions and a major decreasing drought trend along the West Coast and in western India during rainy (monsoon) season. Using the grid level daily precipitation data over India from June to September, Kumar et al.\textsuperscript{27} projected dryness for the years of 1951 to 2007. They discovered a low drought in the western portions of India and a moderate drought in the eastern sections of India.

Therefore, it will be very beneficial to investigate the drought trend in India at the sub-regional and local levels, which was not done in prior research. Agriculture is a major economic activity in both West Bengal and India. Despite the recent progress of industrialization in Purulia, the fundamental pillar of the economy depends on the gross production of agricultural commodities.\textsuperscript{28} As a predominant drought-prone district, the micro-level assessment of drought is desperately needed for the Purulia for efficient agrarian planning. As previous studies were not focused on assessing the drought trend in this district, the aim of the research is to analyse and estimate the trend of the drought along
with the change point using the Standardized Precipitation Index (SPI), Mann-Kendall test (MK), and Sen's slope.

Study Area
The Purulia, an extended section of the Deccan Trap, is located in the western tracts of West Bengal. The districts of Bankura and Paschim Medinipur form its' eastern border. Bardhaman district in West Bengal and Dhanbad district in Jharkhand state form its' northern and western borders, respectively. Bokaro and Ranchi district in Jharkhand state form its' western and southern borders. The total area of the region is 6250 Square Km. Several rivers traverse the district of Purulia. The most significant ones are Kangsabati, Kumari, Silabati (silai), Dwarakeswar, Subarnarekha, and Damodar. Despite the district's many rivers, the terrain's undulations cause 50% of the water to run off. The Purulia has tropical Savanna climate. The region is having a substantial amount of its' rainfall concentrated during the monsoon seasons (1100 to 1500mm precipitation). Extremely hot summers and chilly, low winter temperatures are experienced in the area. The region experience near about 52°C temperature in the summer and a very cold 3.8°C in the winter. The summer months are exceedingly dry. 50% of the water in the soil evaporates as runoff because of its' poor ability to retain moisture. The relative humidity is observed in the monsoon season is from 75% to 90%. According to SAFE, Purulia was the exceptional hit of drought, and agricultural production fall to 27% from 2011 to 2016. In 2017, drought caused almost 280,000 hectares of agricultural land to be barren. Generally, there exists a desiccating effect of drought on the normal cropping pattern or cropping system in the Purulia. Drought in the early season generally results in 2 to 3 weeks delay in the agricultural crop production and aquaculture. Red and laterite soil with undulated land are available in the Purulia District, and this type of soil cannot store the water. As per the Census of India, the district's total population is 2930115, out of which 87.26% reside in the rural areas and 12.74% in the urban areas. Out of the total agricultural landholdings, approximately, 73% belong to the marginal farmers, who have several fragmented lands. Only 15% of the net cropped area is under multi-crop cultivation, even though net cropped land accounts for 57% of total land. Kharif paddy farming covers 83 percent of the total net-cropped area. The crops are primarily rainfed, and fertilizer consumption per unit area is often modest. As a result, the production per hectare is comparatively low. The drought condition of 2010 ended their only means of livelihood. Therefore, in order to deal with drought, the trend of meteorological drought along with the change point is absolutely necessary. The study area's location was shown on a map in Fig. 1.

Methodology and Datasets
The whole methodology was implemented through a 5-step process in this research (Fig. 2). The methodology began with the gathering of information about the precipitation and concluded with the evaluation of drought during the both phases, which are the pre- and the post-change point. The process was explained (Step by step) as follows.

First Step- Collection of the Precipitation Data
The station specific data for the precipitation time series (daily-basis) were collected from CFSR (“Climate Forecast System Reanalysis”), SWAT based web portal. CFSR-SWAT is a validated and trusted database, which bears an open access licence for their users. This portal stores the data from 1979 January to July 2014 on the daily basis. Those data were collected first and then formatted in a monthly time frame. Next, the monthly data was divided into 4 series i.e., 1) Annual or yearly series (A) 2) March to May- Pre-monsoon series (PM) 3) June to October- monsoon series (MS) 4) November to February- Post-monsoon with winter series (PMW). The mean and standard deviation of precipitation were demonstrated in the Table 1. Fig. 2 expressed the 8 meteorological stations within the study area.

Second Step-Determination of drought using Standardized Precipitation Index (SPI)
SPI is a popular flexible index to detect and characterize meteorological drought. SPI was first constructed by McKee et al., and thereafter, it was further developed by Edwards and McKee. They measured precipitation anomalies at a given location by comparing observed precipitation with the long-term historical precipitation for a specific period. The World Meteorological Organization (WMO) had
recommended SPI for several meteorological and hydrological services. In this research, the SPI was calculated in accordance to the guideline of World Meteorological Organization (WMO).

Fig. 1: Location map

Source: Compiled by authors

The total difference between the data points for the whole time series of precipitation, and the extended long-term precipitation means was calculated as the first step. The data points at each time frame (i.e., here j-th) were marked as \( v_j \), the average of the whole column of the precipitation was illustrated as
\( \bar{v}_i \), and the Standard Deviation of the precipitation column was marked as the \( \delta_i \), therefore, the process was initialised with the eq.(1)

\[
\text{SPI} = \frac{(v_i - \bar{v}_i)}{\delta_i} \quad \text{...(1)}
\]

Thom\textsuperscript{54} discovered that the gamma Probability Distribution Function may be used to systematically match the time series of different climatological variables. The precipitation series was therefore fitted with the gamma Probability Distribution Function\textsuperscript{54} in the following step for the purpose of 1) transforming the precipitation value to the zero events, and 2) the adjustment of the standard deviation to 1.0. The Gamma Distribution Function was denoted as follows by Eq. (2)

\[
P(v) = \frac{1}{\beta^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha)} v^{\alpha-1} e^{-\frac{v}{\beta}} \quad \text{...(2)}
\]

Where, \( P(v) \) is the Gamma Distribution Function, \( v \) is the amount of precipitation \((v>0)\), \( \alpha \) \((\alpha>0)\) is the shape parameter, the scale parameter is the \( \beta \) \((\beta>0)\). Now shape and scale parameter \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) were determined as follows (Eq. 3 and Eq. 4)

\[
\alpha = \frac{\overline{v}}{\beta} \quad \text{...(3)}
\]

\[
\beta = \frac{1}{4A}(1 + \sqrt{1 + 4A}) \quad \text{...(4)}
\]

Where, \( A \) was obtained using the following equation (Eq. 5 and Eq. 6)

\[
A = \frac{\ln N}{N} \quad \text{...(5)}
\]

\[
A = \frac{\ln N}{N} - \frac{\sum \ln(v)}{N} \quad \text{...(6)}
\]

Here, the precipitation data was converted to lognormal values and the statistics \( A, \alpha \) and \( \beta \) (shape and scale parameters) were computed. Here, all cases \( N \) is the number of observations. Now, since the gamma function is undefined for \( v = 0 \) and a precipitation distribution may contain zero. Then, the cumulative probability becomes (Eq. 7)

\[
H(v) = q + (1-q)P(v) \quad \text{...(7)}
\]

Where, \( q \) is the probability of zero events. In this research, the SPI was calculated by the MDM software, a free tool provided by the Agrimetsoft team.\textsuperscript{54} The above procedures are merged into this software.\textsuperscript{4}

![Methodological framework](source: Compiled by authors)
Third Step- Estimation of Detrended Data,
Assessment of Trend and Seasonality
Trend from the Detrended Data (TFPW series)
The basic assumption of the Mann-Kendal (MK) and as well as for the Sen’s slope is that the data is randomly ordered and no trend typically exists in the dataset. But the true nature of hydro-meteorological variables is that it bears a significant autocorrelation coefficient. A straight-forward ‘Pre-Whitened’ procedure was framed by Von Storch but the method seems to be inappropriate for the negative auto-correlation. Therefore a trend free two-tailed Pre-whitening method by Yue et al. was applied through the following steps.

Sen’s slope estimator $\beta$ was computed from the SPI data using equation (18). Now, to retrieve the detrended series the eq. (8) and eq. (9) were utilised.

$$v_i - v'_i = (\beta \times i) \quad \text{...(8)}$$

$$\Delta = (\beta \times i) \quad \text{...(9)}$$

Where, $\beta$ is the Sen’s slope, $v_i$ is the i-th data of original precipitation time series. $v'_i$ is the series of after initial removal of auto-correlation. $\Delta$ is the difference between $v_i$ and $v'_i$. The lag-1 serial or autocorrelation coefficient ($r_1$) was calculated for the new trend-free (detrended) series. Following the Tabari and Talaee methodology, if $r_1$ significantly deviated from the value 0 the SPI dataset was considered the serially uncorrelated or independent dataset. Then the MK test method and Sen’s slope were directly applied to that dataset. If the above case did not occur, the dataset was regarded as the serially correlated dataset, and the trend test was applied in the following way (Eq. s10,11,12)

$$y'_i = v'_i - r_1 \times v'_1 \quad \text{...(10)}$$

$$y'_i = v'_i - (\beta \times i) \quad \text{...(11)}$$

$$y'_{i-1} = v'_{i-1} - (\beta \times (i-1)) \quad \text{...(12)}$$

Where, $\beta$ is the Sen’s slope, $v$ is the original precipitation time series. Eq. (12) is the final TFPW series on which further mathematical operations were implemented. The Trend-change R-package utilized to determine the TFPW series in this research.

Trend Estimation using Mann-Kendall test (MK test)
There are many statistical methods for detecting trends, and each method has its advantages and disadvantages. The Mann-Kendall statistical test is widely acknowledged as a non-parametric test to find the tendency (trend) of all meteorological variables. Here, the MK trend test denotes the trend of meteorological drought based on the SPI. Mann Kendall (MK) test is a kind of statistical index, which is not affected by the extremity of the sample (data) points. The Mann Kendall test ($S$) was recommended by the World Meteorological Organisation, and this procedure was used here through the Eq. (13)

$$S = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} \text{sgn}(v_j - v_i) \quad \text{...(13)}$$

Where, S denotes the value of the MK test statistic; n is the total count of the whole data, $v_j$ indicates the value of j (j>i)-th data, and $\text{sgn}(v_j - v_i)$ is the signum function in Eq. (14):

$$\text{sgn}(v_j - v_i) = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } v_j - v_i > 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } v_j - v_i = 0 \\ -1 & \text{if } v_j - v_i = 0 \end{cases} \quad \text{...(14)}$$

The positive S indicates the upward trend, and the negative value indicates its’ reverse. The S is taken as the normally distributed, where $N \geq 8$. The mean and the variance of S are computed as follows in the Eq. (15) and Eq. (16)

$$E(S)=0 \quad \text{...(15)}$$

$$\text{var}(S) = \frac{m(n-1)(2n+5) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i(t_i-1)(2t_i+5)}{18} \quad \text{...(16)}$$

Where, the total count of ties among the groups is represented by P, and n is the total count of the whole data. When there are more than 30 samples, the standard normal format of Z was calculated as follows 62,63 in the Eq. (17)

$$Z = \begin{cases} \frac{s-1}{\sqrt{\text{var}(S)}} & \text{if } S > 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } S = 0 \\ \frac{s+1}{\sqrt{\text{var}(S)}} & \text{if } S < 0 \end{cases} \quad \text{...(17)}$$

Positive trends of Z value are marked with a positive trend, and negative Z value is marked a negative
trend of drought. Trends were estimated at a specific α significance level (here, α is .05).

**Sen’s Slope (β)**
The magnitude of trend denoted here as a Sen’s slope (β) in the given SPI time series was measured in the following way

\[ β = \text{median} \left( \frac{v_i - v_j}{i - j} \right), \quad \forall \; j < i \]  

...(18)

In the above equation (18), \( 1 < j < i < n \). The \( i \) is the \( i \)-th observation of the precipitation time series \( v \) and the \( j \) is the \( j \)-th observation of the time series of precipitation marked as \( v \). According to Tabari et al., \( β \) is the value of median noted with all of the possible paired combinations. Therefore, the extreme values are not included in this test.

**Estimation of Seasonality using Wavelet Transform (WT)**
Wavelet transform is an advanced mathematical tool fitted for providing information of time-recurrence signal. \(^{74}\) Grossmann and Morlet developed it in 1984. \(^{75}\) WT is widely used in time series forecasting and trend analysis of hydro-meteorological variables. \(^{76}\) The Fourier transform (FT), segregates the signal into smooth curve (sinoids), which has an infinite duration, whereas the WT divides the signal into wavelets of the finite segments with zero mean. \(^1\) These wavelets are localized both at frequency and time domains. The wavelet analysis was expressed here as follows\(^7\) (Eq. 19)

\[ W(\omega, \lambda, v(t)) = w^{1/2} \int v(t) e^{j\omega t} \left( w(t - \lambda) \right) dt \]  

...(19)

Where, \( W \) is the Wavelet Transform Function, \( \omega \) is the frequency, \( \lambda \) is the shifting phase with time \( t \), \( \phi^* \) is the conjugate of the original \( \phi \) which is determined by the wavelet function generator. \( v(t) \) is the original time series of precipitation. In this study, the Morlet wavelet transform\(^7\) was used to determine the periodic structure of annual and monthly (seasonal) SPI time series. The wavelet series by Morlet consists of a plain wave, which was modulated by a Gaussian component. \(^7\) The "angular frequency" \( v \) is considered as 3 to make the analytic curve by the Morlet Wavelet. \(^80,81\)

**Fourth Step-Assessment of the Change Point and Homogeneity**

**Change Point Estimation using Pettitt Mann-Whitney U Test**
The Pettitt Mann-Whitney test was adopted to identify the best possible single change point within the SPI time series. The two samples for the SPI time series are the annual and monthly time steps:

\[ V_1, \ldots, V_T \] and \[ V_{t+1}, \ldots, V_t \] (Eq. 20)

The index is

\[ V_t = \sum_{j=1}^{T} \text{sgn}(v_t - v_j) \]  

...(20)

Where, \( \text{sgn}(v_t - v_j) \) is a signum function that returns a real number.

Let, another index \( U_t \) be defined as (Eq. 21)

\[ U_t = \sum_{i=1}^{T} \sum_{j=1}^{T} \text{sgn}(v_t - v_j) \]  

...(21)

The most appropriate change point here denoted as \( t \) is defined as the phase \( K \) (in between upper bound and lower bound) where the \( U_t \) attains its' maximum value \( K_{max} \) (Eq. 22)

\[ K_{max} = \max_{1 < t < T} U_t \]  

...(22)

The likelihood (p) of a change point is being at the phase surrounding the particular point where the \( U_t \) is approximated by (Eq. 23)

\[ p = 1 - \exp \left[ \frac{-6K_{max}^2}{T^3} \right] \]  

...(23)

Further it can be introduced, for \( 1 < t < T \), as (Eq. 24)

\[ \hat{\mu}(t) = V_t \]  

...(24)

Where, \( V_t \) is the Dummy variable for the change or shift point. \( T \) is time. \( \hat{\mu}(t) \) is the highest value at the time \( t \).

And it is defined as, (Eq. 25).

\[ p(t) = 1 - \exp \left[ \frac{-6V(t)^2}{T^3 + T^2} \right] \]  

...(25)
Where, \( P(t) \) is the Pettitt Mann-Whitney test.

In this research, the region between the upper and lower bound of the Mann Whitney U statistic was considered the change point region\(^{80} \). Since the change point phase for monthly and annual scales varied between 1988 and 1989, the period was used as the change point phase.

**Kruskal-Wallis Test (H) To Analyse The Homogeneity**

To ascertain whether there is a most probable difference between two phases (before and after the shift point), the Kruskal-Wallis Chi-square test was used. The procedure is as follows.\(^{82} \)

1. Rank the observations from the smallest to largest and give them weightage accordingly
2. Then Chi-square test\(^{83} \) was applied

In case of no ties exist, the \( H \) was obtained as follows (Eq. 26)

\[
H = \frac{12}{n(n+1)} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{R_{i}^2}{n_{i}} - 3(n+1) \quad (26)
\]

Where, \( H \) is the value of Kruskal-Wallis test, the \( i \)-th group, \( \sum_{i=1}^{k} n_{i} \) is the sum of all \( n_{i} \) observations, and the sum of the ranks in the \( i \)-th group is denoted as \( R_{i} \).

If the number of identical observations exceeds 25% of the number of the samples, the \( H \) can be modified in the following way (Eq. 27)

\[
H = \frac{12}{n(n+1)} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{R_{i}^2}{n_{i}} - 3(n+1) \quad \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{c} t_{j}}{n(n-1)}} \quad (27)
\]

Here, \( c \) is the total count of all the tied groups and \( t_{j} \) is total dimensions of all \( j \) which is the tied group.

When \( n_{i} \geq 5 \), the \( H \) accurately coincides with the \( \chi^2 \) distribution. If \( H \) coincides with the degrees of freedom denoted as \( \chi^2(1-\alpha, k-1) \), the hypothesis will be rejected.\(^{72} \) In this study, a level of 95% was used.

**Fifth Step-Estimation of Percentage Change of Drought**

Percentage change of trend in both the phases (i.e., before and after) was expressed as mentioned below\(^{84} \) (Eq. 28)

\[
\% \text{Change} = \frac{D_{p(a)} - D_{p(b)}}{D_{p(b)}} \times 100 \quad (28)
\]

Where, \( D_{p(a)} \) is the MK or Sen’s slope value after the change point, and \( D_{p(b)} \) is the drought assessment factor before the shift; and the \( D_{p(b)} \) is the reference period, the drought evaluation parameter prior the shift of the change point.

To visualize all of the maps and diagrams, Inverse Distance Weightage Method (IDW) was applied in ArcGIS 10.4.

**Results**

**Descriptive Statistics of Annual and Seasonal Precipitation**

The mean, SD, and CV of precipitation were portrayed in the Table 1. The stations with the largest and smallest mean annual precipitation were observed at stations 229866 (2\(^{nd} \) station) and 236859, respectively. In the annual series, the highest standard deviation of precipitation was identified at station 229866 (2\(^{nd} \) Station). On the other hand, the lowest standard deviation of precipitation was noticed at station 229863 (1\(^{st} \) station) in the annual series (A). The lowest and largest coefficients of variation for precipitation were found at stations 229863 (1\(^{st} \) station) and 233866 (5\(^{th} \) station), with 18.25% and 312.39%, respectively.

**Table 1: Descriptive statistics table denoted the annual, mean, SD and CV of the precipitation (1979-2014)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station name</th>
<th>Longitude</th>
<th>Latitude</th>
<th>Season</th>
<th>Mean precipitation (mm.)</th>
<th>Standard Deviation (SD)</th>
<th>Coefficient of Variation (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Annual (A)</td>
<td>1808.272</td>
<td>539.853</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>330.059</td>
<td>18.252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-Monsoon (PM)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>295.506</td>
<td>54.923</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Station</td>
<td>Monsoon (M)</td>
<td>Post-Monsoon (PM)</td>
<td>Annual (A)</td>
<td>Pre-Monsoon (PM)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st station</td>
<td>535.87</td>
<td>120.085</td>
<td>29.48</td>
<td>116.665</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd station</td>
<td>605.171</td>
<td>2558.716</td>
<td>37.231</td>
<td>126.282</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd station</td>
<td>5437.539</td>
<td>29942.4</td>
<td>52.776</td>
<td>194.118</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4th station</td>
<td>6241.709</td>
<td>29942.4</td>
<td>46.92</td>
<td>194.118</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5th station</td>
<td>6241.709</td>
<td>29942.4</td>
<td>46.92</td>
<td>194.118</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6th station</td>
<td>6241.709</td>
<td>29942.4</td>
<td>46.92</td>
<td>194.118</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7th station</td>
<td>6241.709</td>
<td>29942.4</td>
<td>46.92</td>
<td>194.118</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8th station</td>
<td>6241.709</td>
<td>29942.4</td>
<td>46.92</td>
<td>194.118</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Similarly, 229863 (1st station) and 229866 (2nd Station) stations, had the highest (2193.199 mm) and lowest (539.853 mm) mean and standard deviation of precipitation, during the pre-monsoon series (PM). At this phase, the lowest and highest precipitation variation were noticed at stations 233866 (5th station) and 236859. The lowest mean and standard deviation of precipitation were identified at the station 236859 during the monsoon (MS) season. On the other hand, the highest mean (13302.771 mm) and standard deviation (6487.936 mm) of precipitation were observed in stations 233866 (5th station) and 229866 (2nd Station), respectively. Stations 236863 (7th station) and 229863 (1st Station) had the highest and lowest variability during the monsoon phase respectively. At the post-monsoon phase (PMW), the highest precipitation variability was observed at stations 233859 (3rd station), 236863 (7th station), and 236866 (8th station), with approximately 194% coefficient of variation. Station 229863 (1st Station) had the lowest variability of precipitation during this phase. The highest (16940.053 mm) and the lowest mean (407.334 mm) precipitation in the post-monsoon phase (PMW) were recorded at stations 233863 and 229863 (1st Station), respectively.

**Fig. 3:** Wavelet power spectrums for the a) A, b) PM, c) M, and d) PMW phase.

Source: Compiled by authors

**Estimation of Periodicity of Drought using Morlet Wavelet Transforms**

The periodic nature of drought at the annual (A) and seasonal scales were portrayed in the Fig. 3 using the Morlet Wavelet Transform. Here, time and periodicity were displayed on the x and y-axis respectively. High significance (10% significance level) against the joint periodicity scale was denoted by the white lines in Fig. 3. At the annual scale, drought ranged from 0.25 to 0.5 months from 1979 to 1988. From 1989 to 2003, the periodicity of drought fluctuated from 0.5 to 0.55 months. From 2006 to 2014, periodicity fluctuated from 0.27 to 0.51 months. 2004 to 2005 was noticed with an inconsistent periodicity. Except from 2004 to 2006, the total annual phase was identified with a higher concentration of power (Fig. 3a). In the pre-monsoon phase (PM), the drought was noticed with a significantly high concentration level from 1979 to 1988. But from 1989 to 2005, the drought was identified with a relatively low concentration of power. At this phase, inconsistent periodicity was observed after the year 2005. At the pre-monsoon
phase (PM), drought varied with 0.00 to 16.32 wavelet power (Fig. 3b). At the monsoon phase (MS), periodicity of drought was noticed with significantly high-power levels during 1979 to 1993 and 2007 to 2010. From 1994 to 2006 and after 2010, the periodicity of the drought was inconsistent. Overall, the periodicity of drought at monsoon season (MS) varied from 0.00 to 18.30 wavelet power level (Fig. 3c). At the post-monsoon phase (PMW), a significant periodicity of droughts was observed from 1979 to 1985 and 1997 to 2000 with a higher concentration of power. Droughts were noticed from 2007 to 2014 with a significant periodicity with a low power concentration (Fig. 3d). 2001 to 2006 was detected with inconsistent periodicity at this phase.

**Estimation of Change Point at Annual and Monthly Series**
The Pettitt Mann-Whitney test was applied for annual and monthly series to determine change points. Both for annual (A) and monthly series, 1988-1989 was obtained as the change point. Pre-monsoon (PM), monsoon (MS), and post-monsoon (PMW) phases were included in the monthly series. Thus, for both annual and monthly series, 1979–1987 represented the pre-change point phase, and 1990–2014 represented the post-change point phase (Table 2). The Kruskal-Wallis homogeneity test (KW) was used to examine the mean deviation before and after the change point in both annual and monthly time series (in this case, SPI series). By utilizing the KW test, the time series of the drought was heterogeneous at all stations at the annual series (Table 3). At pre-monsoon (PM) (Table 4) and post-monsoon phase (PMW) (Table 6), five stations were noticed with homogeneous nature, whereas other stations were detected with the reversed feature of the previous. At monsoon season (MS) (Table 5), five stations were seen with a heterogeneity.

**Table 2: Pettitt-Mann Whitney test result for annual and monthly series (pre-monsoon, monsoon and post-monsoon series included)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station name</th>
<th>Annual series (Change point)</th>
<th>Monthly series (Change point)</th>
<th>Change point taken (for both annual and monthly series)</th>
<th>Before change point series (for both annual and monthly series)</th>
<th>After change point series (for both annual and monthly series)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**For monthly and annual series, the confidence interval or the upper and lower boundary limits are taken as the phase of the change point.**

(Compiled by authors)
### Table 3: Kruskal-Wallis (KW) homogeneity test for annual series
(change point is 1988-1990)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station Name</th>
<th>KW test (Chi-square value)</th>
<th>Prob. &gt; Chi-square</th>
<th>Series homogeneity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>229863 (1st Station)</td>
<td>4.64</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>S₁</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>229866 (2nd Station)</td>
<td>5.47</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>S₁</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>233859 (3rd station)</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>S₁</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>233863 (4th station)</td>
<td>5.59</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>S₁</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>233866 (5th station)</td>
<td>5.83</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>S₁</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>236859 (6th station)</td>
<td>4.27</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>S₁</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>236863 (7th station)</td>
<td>5.79</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>S₁</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>236866 (8th station)</td>
<td>6.44</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>S₁</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

S₁ - Heterogeneous group, S₀ - Homogeneous group (Compiled by authors)

### Table 4: Kruskal-Wallis (KW) homogeneity test for pre-monsoon series
(change point is 1988-1990)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station Name</th>
<th>KW test (Chi-square value)</th>
<th>Prob. &gt; Chi-square</th>
<th>Series homogeneity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>229863 (1st Station)</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>S₀</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>229866 (2nd Station)</td>
<td>7.80</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>S₁</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>233859 (3rd station)</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>S₀</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>233863 (4th station)</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>S₀</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>233866 (5th station)</td>
<td>7.85</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>S₁</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>236859 (6th station)</td>
<td>7.85</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>S₁</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>236863 (7th station)</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>S₀</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>236866 (8th station)</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>S₀</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

S₁ - Heterogeneous group, S₀ - Homogeneous group (Compiled by authors)

### Table 5: Kruskal-Wallis (KW) homogeneity test for monsoon series
(change point is 1988-1990)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station Name</th>
<th>KW test (Chi-square value)</th>
<th>Prob. &gt; Chi-square</th>
<th>Series homogeneity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>229863 (1st station)</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>S₀</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>229866 (2nd Station)</td>
<td>3.36</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>S₀</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>233859 (3rd station)</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>S₀</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>233863 (4th station)</td>
<td>5.54</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>S₁</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>233866 (5th station)</td>
<td>6.13</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>S₁</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>236859 (6th station)</td>
<td>4.66</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>S₁</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>236863 (7th station)</td>
<td>5.58</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>S₁</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>236866 (8th station)</td>
<td>6.52</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>S₁</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

S₁ - Heterogeneous group, S₀ - Homogeneous group (Compiled by authors)
Status of Drought at Pre-Change Point

MK and Sen’s slope were implemented on the TFPW series of droughts in both phases (i.e., before change point as well as the after-change point). Before the change point, for the annual series (A), MK test statistics varied from -0.9292 to 0.5365 (Fig. 4a), and Sen’s slope fluctuated from -0.1711 to 0.1112 (Fig. 5a), respectively. Stations 229863 (1st station), 229866 (2nd station), 233859 (3rd station), and 233866 (8th station) showed a non-significant upward trend, while stations 233863 (4th station), 233866 (5th station), 236859 (6th station), and 236863 (7th station) showed a non-significant downward trend. Generally, Western, southern, and north-eastern sections of the region were noticed with a non-significant upward drought trend. The remaining portions were identified with a non-significant downward trend of drought. At this phase, MK test statistic fluctuated from -1.5016 to 0.0368 (Fig. 4b) and Sen’s slope (Fig. 5b) varied between -0.2637 to 0.0219. At the post-monsoon phase (PM), MK test statistic and Sen’s slope varied from -0.6389 to 0.4848 (Fig. 4c) and -0.1043 to 0.0592 (Fig. 5c). Only station 233866 (5th station) was noticed with a significant downward trend at this phase, and others were noticed with a non-significant upward or downward trend. North-western portions were noticed with a downward trend at this phase, and north-eastern portions were noticed with an upward drought trend. At the post-monsoon phase (PMW), station 233866 (5th station) was observed with a significant upward trend, and other stations were noted with a non-significant upward or downward trend. Sen’s slope and the MK test statistic at the post-monsoon period (PMW) fluctuated from -0.0011 to 0.1242 (Fig. 5d) and -0.2650 to 1.2980, respectively (Fig. 4d). At the post-monsoon period, the north-western regions were seen with a non-significant positive trend, while the north-eastern sections were marked with a non-significant negative trend of drought (PMW).

Status of Drought at Post-Change Point

The MK test statistic and the Sen’s slope after the change (shift) point at the annual scale ranged between -0.4436 and 0.7239 (Fig. 6a) and -0.0149 and 0.0224 (Fig. 7a), respectively. In this case, only station 233866 (5th station) had a noticeable upward trend. There were other stations that had non-significant increasing or declining trends. North-eastern areas showed an in-significant upward trend, while south-west sections showed an in-significant declining trend. At the pre-monsoon phase (PM), MK test statistic (Fig. 6b) and Sen’s slope (Fig. 7b) varied between -1.9688 to -0.9109 and -0.0397 to -0.0023 respectively. In this phase, a significant downward trend was observed at station 229863 (1st station). Other stations were noticed with a non-significant upward or downward trend. At the monsoon phase (MS), the study region was noticed with a non-significant negative (downward) or positive (upward) trend of drought. South-
eastern portions were noticed with a non-significant downward trend, whereas the rest of the study region experienced a non-significant upward trend. At this phase, MK and Sen's slope varied between -1.4251 to 0.3847 (Fig. 6c) and -0.2637 to 0.0822 (Fig. 7c). At the post-monsoon phase (PMW), At station 233866 (5th station), there was a discernible rising trend. The southern and northern sections of this region marked a negligible downward trend. Significant rising (upward) trends in the drought were seen in the study region's eastern portions. Here, MK and Sen's slope fluctuated from -0.4957 to 0.4436 (Fig. 6d) and -0.0122 to 0.0355 (Fig. 7d) respectively.

![Fig. 4: Spatial assessments of the drought trend (MK test statistic) at pre-change point (TFPW series): a) A, b) PM, c) M, and d) PMW, NS- Not Significant, S- Significant.](image-url)
Fig. 5: Spatial assessment of the Sen's slope at pre-change point (TFPW series): a) A; b) PM, c) M, and d) PMW (NS- Not significant, S-significant).

Fig. 6: Spatial assessment of trend (MK test statistic) at post-change point (TFPW series): a) A, b) PM, c) M, and d) PMW (NS- Not significant, S-significant).
Fig. 7: Spatial assessment of the magnitude of trend (Sen’s slope) at post-change point (TFPW series): a) A, b) PM, c) MS, and d) PMW (NS- Not significant, S-significant).

Percentage Change Assessment of Drought
At the annual (A) and pre-monsoon phase (PM), the percentage change of drought was quite high in the eastern and middle sections of the region, and it was low in the southern and south-western sections of the Purulia. At the monsoon phase (MS), a percentage change of drought was observed as the lowest at the southern section; however, it was observed with a moderate to high nature for the remaining sections of the Purulia. The western part and also the northern sections of the study area experienced the lowest percentage change during the post-monsoon period (PMW). However, it was observed as the highest at the eastern sections of the region. At the annual scale, -2.8003% to 3.0323% (Fig. 8a) changes were observed for MK and -0.2539% to 0.5442% (Fig. 9a) changes were noticed for the Sen’s slope. At the pre-monsoon phase (PM), -5.7246% to 1.0203% (Fig. 8b) changes were observed for the MK and -0.1321% to 0.7536% (Fig. 9b) changes were observed for the Sen’s slope. At the monsoon season (M), -4.1097% to 2.7957% (Fig. 8c) changes were identified for MK and -0.7917% to 0.3172% (Fig. 9c) changes were observed for the Sen’s slope. At post-monsoon phase, the change varied between -4.4416% to 1.2674% (Fig. 8d) for the MK and -0.3429% to -0.0257% (Fig. 9d) for the Sen’s slope. The highest negative change (%) at station 229863 (1st station) (-2.8%) and the highest positive change (%) at station 229863 (3.65%) were noted during the annual period (A). At the pre-monsoon phase, the largest negative change (%) (almost -5.7%) was noticed at stations 229863 (1st station), 229866 (2nd Station), and 236863 (6th station), and the highest positive change (%) (almost 1.02%) was noticed at the station 233863. At the monsoon season (M), the station 229863 (1st station) was noticed with the largest negative change (-4.11%) of drought, and station 236863 (7th station) was
noticed with the highest positive change (3.53%). At the post-monsoon season, the highest negative change (-0.61%) was observed at station 236859 (6th station), and the largest positive change (0.04%) was found at station 233859 (3rd station).

The results of this research are analogous to the findings of other research conducted in same geographical locations. As of right now, 8 research articles were published on this region, to the best of our knowledge. Using the trends of SPI for 1971 and 2005, Palchaudhuri and Biswas85 assessed the spatiotemporal structure of the meteorological drought. The research explored that the northeast, northwest, and southwest regions of the district experience severe and extreme droughts. According to Bhunia et al.,30 the Purulia have a high frequency of meteorological droughts. Using the exponential smoothing models, Shrestha et al.86 simulated the meteorological droughts of India using a bias corrected CMIP6 model and they found that the moderate drought is prevalent in these portions. Ghosh86 forecasted the drought conditions and discovered that moderate to severe droughts are likely to increase in upcoming days. Goswami38 analysed the monsoonal trend in meteorological drought of 1908 to 2009 and found that the central portions had faced comparatively high severity of drought. Drought was present in the Purulia's north-western, western, and north-eastern regions, according to Ghosh,87 Bhardwaj,88 Das89 and Mishra.90 The eastern and south-western parts of the Purulia were identified by Bera et al.92 as the acute drought-prone portions. Using the exponential smoothing model, they made an effort to anticipate the drought and ultimately discovered

Fig. 8: Estimation of % changes in both phases (before and after) in MK test statistic (TFPW series): a) A, b) PM, c) MS, d) PMW, NS- Not Significant, S- Significant.
the increasing nature of moderate and low droughts in this district. Low drought was anticipated in the Western portions of the region, while the moderate drought was anticipated in the Eastern sections. All of the research activities mentioned above are supported by the current study. It was found that the north-eastern, middle, and western regions of Purulia have a higher tendency of drought. The effects of the droughts on different regions have varying spatiotemporal sequences at different seasons. That spatiotemporal sequence can be easily identified by the change point. Moreover, consequences of the drought may vary in different phases and seasonal dimensions. 

Unfortunately, the research work mentioned above had not assessed the shifting nature of the drought (using the SPI). All of the research work abruptly identifies the drought in the Western and eastern portions of the Purulia. But the shifting nature of the drought from the Western to Eastern sections of the region is typically absent in research works done by the other researchers. The present research work meticulously identified the shifting nature of drought in the Purulia and therefore, this research became a mandatory and obvious read for the researchers.

Fig. 9: Spatial assessment of % change in both phases (before and after) in Sen’s slope (TFPW series): a) A, b) PM, c) MS, d) PMW, NS-Not Significant, S- Significant

Discussion
The trend of drought in the Purulia District matches with all Indian patterns. The possible causes of such drought trends in the Purulia have been deeply rooted within geo-environmental conditions such as the changing pattern of the climate. From 1990, the pattern of drought with the ENSO phenomenon has been changed and the relationship has been weakened. The anomaly for the Walker and the surface temperatures are the root cause of such a changing relationship. So, alone ENSO event could not adequately explain the drought trend in
the Indian context. On the broad perspective, the tendency of drought has increased at the eastern sections of the region, which typically depends on the local level factors, i.e., exhaustibility of the jet stream and weakening of meridional gradient induced by the SST. The micro fluctuation of the meteorological variables at the eight precipitation sites is the focus of the Purulia drought trend study. As a result, the impact of ENSO and other events were not evaluated in this context. Instead, this research has essentially implied the local level factors, i.e., geology, relief, slope, air pollution, changes of agricultural land use through the irrigated agriculture, deforestation and rapid unplanned urbanization. Originally belonging to a granitic terrain, the southern, north-eastern, and western portions of Purulia have crystalline basement rocks thinly covered by a mantle. According to Nayak et al., due to the weathering of the fractured zones, and the porous structure of the rough dissected plateau, the soil of these sections cannot hold sufficient amount of water. According to Gupta and Patel, a lower groundwater level in this area, exists due to a rugged and harsh topography. Hence, at pre and post-change points, the north-eastern, southern, and south-western portions are noticed with drought. Positive changes of trend dominate at the middle and eastern sections of the study region, indicating that the tendency of drought is increasing in these portions. The possible reasons for such a pattern are rapid urbanization, deforestation, and minimal scope of irrigation.

Conclusion
Overall, the spatio-temporal variation of the drought trend was evaluated together with the change point at both the seasonal and yearly (annual) scales. The Sen's slope and Mann-Kendall test were used to calculate the drought trend. To find the most likely shifting (Change point) point in the time series, the Pettitt Mann-Whitney test was used to the annual and monthly scales. The best likely change point at seasonal and annual scales was between 1988 and 1989. Drought dominated at the southern, south-western, and north-eastern sections on a seasonal and annual scale (at both pre and post phases). But in the eastern parts of the territory, the shifting nature of the drought predominated in a positive direction.

In addition, the use of Morlet Wavelet transforms indicated that drought had a consistent periodicity that had ranged from 0.25 to 2 months by taking into account both yearly and seasonal scales. Due to the recent deterioration in the link between El-Nino and the Indian summer monsoon, this tendency was detected. A possible hint was given to local level elements such as the local-level geology, relief, slope, etc. which may be the responsible for such variances. Additionally, given that the Purulia depends on the production of agricultural crops, this research will have a big impact on agricultural planning that incorporates local level management of crop water. Consequently, the agricultural management plan of the Purulia would benefit from the findings of this research.
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