

ISSN: 0973-4929, Vol. 14, No. (3) 2019, Pg. 411-420

## **Current World Environment**

www.cwejournal.org

## Estimation of Carbon Dioxide from Transportation Sector Based on Urban Data Availability

### ABDU FADLI ASSOMADI\*, RACHMAT BOEDISANTOSO, AGUS SLAMET, ARIE DIPAREZA SYAFEI and JONI HERMANA

Laboratory of Air Pollution Control and Climate Change, Dept. of Environmental Engineering, Institut Teknologi Sepuluh Nopember (ITS), Surabaya-6011 Indonesia.

#### Abstract

One source of potential carbon dioxides in most developing countries is the transportation sector. The calculation of carbon dioxides is therefore, important as a part of policy making on carbon management in the region. The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) calculation method which were often used require large and complete data. This is a problematic for developing countries due to the availability of data are not in accordance or sufficient with the IPCC method. This study examined the alternative calculation methods that are tailored to the available data in most developing countries. Two alternative methods are proposed for calculating carbon dioxide emissions; the first method is based on data of the number and types of vehicles, and the second method is based on the data and the length of the road class. The results show that both alternative methods are reliable to estimate specific emission factor (SEF) and emission estimates that are close to the IPCC calculation methods. The alternative of second method provides results that are closer to the IPCC calculation method with the correlation value of 0.997 and the standard error of 2.8 ton CO,/years, as compared to the results of alternative first method (correlation value of 0.990 and the standard error of 4.7 ton CO<sub>2</sub>/years).

## Introduction

Carbon footprint (CF) is a measure of human activities influence on the environment and climate change, and it is divided into two categories, i.e (1) the primary footprint, a measure of direct  $CO_2$  emissions from fossil fuels burning, such as from vehicles and transportation, and (2) the secondary footprint, a measure of indirect  $CO_2$  emissions,

CONTACT Abdu Fadli Assomadi assomadi@its.ac.id Caboratory of Air Pollution Control and Climate Change, Dept. of Environmental Engineering, Institut Teknologi Sepuluh Nopember (ITS), Surabaya-6011 Indonesia.



© 2019 The Author(s). Published by Enviro Research Publishers.

This is an **3** Open Access article licensed under a Creative Commons license: Attribution 4.0 International (CC-BY). Doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.12944/CWE.14.3.09



#### **Article History**

Received: 9 September 2019 Accepted: 28 October 2019

#### Keywords

Carbon Emission Estimation Method; Developing Country; Roads Classification; Specific Emission Factor (SEF); Transportation Data. obtained from the life cycle of the products used in life. Both are a measure of energy consumption in a single or collection of activities. Unfortunately, the growth of  $CO_2$  emission has done enormous for developed and developing country, in the case for meet their population energy needed.<sup>1</sup>The growth of  $CO_2$  emission has been a most challenging issues for developing country,<sup>2</sup> like Indonesia.

In Indonesia, based on oil and gas statistic 2016, energy (fuel) consumption 72.3 - 66.9 million KL (kilo litre) and 96% is used by households, industry, and transportation.<sup>3</sup>Transportation sector, in various studies and surveys, is a very large energy consumer and at the same a large carbon emitter. Generally, the quality and quantity of the transportation sector is identical to the condition of the road infrastructure available in the region.<sup>4</sup>

Road is a transportation infrastructure which includes all parts of roads, buildings and equipment that are intended for traffic, which is at ground level, above ground, below ground and/or water, as well as on the surface of the water, except railroad railway, highway and street wires.<sup>5</sup>The road classification by function is (1) arterial road, is public road transport that serves the main freight function with the high travel distance and average speed characteristic, the number of driveways constrained efficiently; (2) collector road, is public road transport to serve the collector or divider with the medium travel distance and average speed characteristics (The number of driveways being restricted); and (3) local road, is public road to serve the local transport for short trips with low average speed characteristics and the number of driveways are not restricted.

The transportation sector contributes 23% of the emissions of total global  $CO_2$  emissions. Overall contribution of these emissions, 75% by road transport.<sup>6</sup> This  $CO_2$  emissions problem should be handled optimally. One way of the handling is the emissions inventory and estimation in each region, to support the mapping and management of national emissions programs.

Various estimation methods have been published both nationally and internationally, and scientifically can be used to calculate the carbon emissions from

a variety human activities, including transportation. World conventions provide guidelines according to the calculation method of the IPCC<sup>7</sup> that can be used to calculate the carbon emissions for region or a city. The data required as inputs for the emission calculations, especially the quantity of fuels or energy, raw materials, or the nature of activity data units according to the needs of the IPCC method.8 Inappropriately, that required data however not available in the smallest urban areas of developing countries. It the fact, for most district and regency in developing country are very difficult to obtain the IPCC calculation. These countries do not have complete data in accordance with the IPCC required. For instance, the data transport sector in small towns in Indonesia, does not directly describe the quantity and the efficiency of fuel used, as the basis for calculating of emissions according to the IPCC. The available data that is considered to be valid enough, usually only the class and length of roads, number and type of vehicles, and the density or road loads. In other side, every country must report their carbon emission well every year. Thus the research effort was aimed to make the equivalent conversion of these data into equivalent carbon emission with fuel use and efficiency according to the IPCC.

### Methodology

#### **Review of Estimation Methods**

There are many emissions models from transportation activities that have been developed and used. Some model in general is an estimation of CO<sub>2</sub> emission based on fuel consumption or based on total km travelled.<sup>9</sup> In others, model based on emission factors. Several studies are developed in international institutes or organizations, no literatures are fully cover the topics.<sup>10</sup> The models used in this paper are classified into three equation principles since other known methods are identical and have the same principle with any of these three methods. The equations include:

The calculation that is based on the quantity of fuels, for example is in a mobile combustion model, it is the air modelling with mathematical calculations to predict the emissions of carbon dioxide ( $CO_2$ ). The calculation of  $CO_2$  emissions uses the amount of fuels consumed multiplied by the emission factor of the fuel type.<sup>11</sup>The general equations used are: Fuel Energy unit= fuel type x energy content  $CO_2$  emission= $\sum$ [Fuel Energy unit x emission factor] ...(1)

In mobile combustion equations, there are several data input, i.e.: 1) the amount of fuels that is derived from the overall amount of consumed fuels in the city, and 2) the  $CO_2$  emission factor for each type of fuel (in kg / TJ, obtained from the 2006 IPCC Guidance).

The calculation that is based on the quantity and type of contributors, is the air modelling with a mathematical calculation to predict the emissions of carbon dioxide  $(CO_2)$ . Some of models based travel forecasting and transportation analysis.<sup>12</sup> Other model based on the type of vehicles that are grouped according to the type of its fuels, respectively. Equations used include:

Vehicle fraction=Sum of Specific Vehicle/Total of all type Vehicle

ER<sub>n</sub> = [emission factor x vehicle fraction]

$$e = \left[\sum_{n=1}^{N} (TG_n xO xER_n)\right]$$

Specific fuel consumption =fuel comsumption (litre)/ amount of specific vehicle (unit)

$$CO_2$$
 emission=  $\sum [e \times Specific fuel consumption]$  ...(2)

In these equations, there are several data inputs:

- Fraction of vehicles, obtained from dividing the number of each type of vehicles by the total number of all type vehicles, grouped as its fuel types.
- the gram per start emission rate for the specified technology group and pollutant = *ER*
- The number of vehicle trip origins = O
- The total number of vehicles grouped based on its fuel type consumptions = *TG*.
- Average fuel consumptions for each vehicle is obtained from the total of each type of fuel (petrol and diesel) in a region divided by the total number of vehicles that are grouped for each type of fuel consumption.

# The Calculation Based on the Methodology of IPCC (2006)

This method is used for the development of national emissions report by countries, reported to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC). This method provides three-TIER approaches to meet the required degree of accuracy in accordance with the specifications of the data availability. The higher TIER gives better accuracy, but requires more complex of data and procedures. In principle, the best  $CO_2$  emissions calculation is based on carbon contents, amounts and types of fuel consumptions.<sup>8</sup>

#### Tier 1 Methodology

Tier 1 approach is a simple method to calculate  $CO_2$  emissions, by multiplying the consumed fuels estimation by the general emission factor (default). The general equation of Tier 1 for transportation is:

$$CO_2$$
 emission=  $\Sigma$ (Fuel<sub>a</sub> x EF<sub>a</sub>) ...(3)

Where the  $CO_2$  emissions in kg, with subscript a = types of fuel (diesel, premium, LPG, etc.), fuel estimated in tons and EF = emission factor (kg/ton).

#### **Tier 2 Methodology**

This approach is similar to the Tier 1, with the emission factor is specific carbon contents for each country used for transportation. This approach depends on the category of fuel used by different vehicles and emissions standards. Equation 8 in Tier 1 can applied for Tier 2, but the emission factors must be calculated based on the actual fuel carbon content.

#### **Tier 3 Methodology**

Tier 3 is more detailed than the Tier 2. This approach is based on activity data and emission factors with greater aggregates, but the results are more accurate than the Tier 1 and Tier 2, although it is more complex and difficult. However, the calculation of  $CO_2$  emissions using the IPCC Guidelines is recommended only using Tier 1 and Tier 2.<sup>8</sup>

#### **Data Provided and Calculation Methods**

The CO<sub>2</sub> emission estimations must include all transportation activity in boundary of city reference.<sup>13</sup>

In this case, IPCC method strictly used, so it's necessary to choose a city that has complete data as needed. For simulation, the chosen city is Surabaya, which has completely data of traffic, fuel consumption, vehicle types, class and length of road. Calculation of  $CO_2$  emission is completely carried out in several districts as reference and then specified by length or class of the road. The result of this calculation is a specific emission factor (SEF) that can be used for estimation of  $CO_2$  emission in another (general) district with limitation data of

type and number of vehicles or length and class of roads, only.

All of data in this research provided by Surabaya City Government for 3 years. These data are class of road and length, traffic density for each class road, types of vehicles, and fuel consumption for all district. Calculation process generally schemed and provided in Fig. 1 for reference district and Fig. 2 for general district.



Fig. 1:Determination of SEF on reference district



Fig. 2: Estimation of district CO, emission based on data available

#### Result and Discussion Inventory Data on Transport Sector

#### inventory Data on transport Sector

The construction of alternative methods for calculating carbon emissions in this paper are based on the principles of the 2006 IPCC formulation that used data inventory results from the transportation sector. The inventory was conducted through collecting primary data, survey data and observations, and the secondary data from relevant agencies. The main results of the inventory are:

- Data of the length of roads for each road class in the study area.
- Data of the road density and types of vehicles of each road class.

These data are generally available in most small towns or villages up to the district level in developing countries. While the data and the type of fuel consumption for transportation, as required by the IPCC to calculate carbon emissions, often are not well recorded until the level of small towns.

The Table 1 presents the inventory results of the length and the class roads in the study area (Surabaya city, East Java, Indonesia) in year condition of 2015. The entire study area was consisted of 5 locations and then subdivided into 30 study areas (district) as shown in Table 1. The distribution of the study areas based on the representative populations that are sufficient for the Thus, data

alternative models generated in this study.

Further, the calculation of potential CO<sub>2</sub> emissions was approximated by predicting emissions for each road class. The calculation was based on the load or density for each road class in each study area.

Thus, data that describes the load of each road class are of importance and it is presented in Table 2. The vehicles density of each road class was only counted for the groups of vehicles that are generally operated within the whole study area, *i.e.* motorcycles, passenger cars, mini buses, trucks and large buses.

Location	Number of Study Area	AP (km)	AS (km)	CP (km)	CS (km)	L (km)
Center zone	4	6.32	16.98	0	44.39	107.85
Northern zone	5	10.84	14.16	0.68	32.81	216.66
Eastern zone	7	4.49	48.10	9.38	132.05	520.99
Southern zone	8	9.54	15.35	17.10	84.26	631.65
Western zone	6	1.89	11.39	5.67	48.59	352.84
Total	30	33.08	105.98	32.83	342.10	1829.99

Table 1 : The area and length of each segment of the road class in the study area (km)

Notes: AP = primary arterial road; AS = secondary arterial road; CP = primary collector road; CS = secondary collector road; L = local road

 No.	Vehicles Type	ΑΡ	AS	СР	CS	L
1.	Motorcycles	6795	4884	3313	4885	1200
2.	Passenger cars (premium)	1342	917	897	968	147
3.	Passenger cars (diesel)	727	265	241	323	52
4.	Bus/mini trucks	129	23	14	24	5
5.	Trucks	121	76	26	0	0
6.	Large buses	26	2	3	0	0
Total	-	9141	6166	4495	6210	1404

Table 2 : Average vehicle density (vehicles/hour) for each class of road

# Emission Factors and Emissions Calculation from Transportation Sectors

In principle, the carbon emissions is determined by two things: the amount of activity or loadings, and the emissions factors according to the type of activity or operational loads. The amount of activity or loading is obtained from the data processing of primary or secondary inventory results. While the emission factor, especially from the transportation sectors can be approximated by several methods. This emission factor is the average specific emission contribution from activities or loading of the source. Thus, the emission factor could be calculated based on each vehicle (according to its types), or per fuel consumptions, or per types of road considering its density/loads, and so on.

The IPCC 2006 formulation for estimating carbon emissions potential, in general, uses the activities of fuel consumption with emission factors for each fuel type consumed. The application of the IPCC formula will be a problem for the area that does not have a data record of fuel consumption, as in many areas of the developing world. Thus, determining the specific emission factor (SEF) on the basis of other activities will provide an alternative method for predicting carbon emissions in areas where the data availability is minimal. In this study, the SEF is expressed in two alternatives: 1) SEF based on

data of the number and the types of vehicles 2) SEF based on data of the length and road class.

Vehicle Types	Average Emission (kgCO <sub>2</sub> /km.hour)						
-	AP	AS	СР	CS	L		
Motorcyles	468.8559	337.0057	228.6292	337.0563	82.7768		
Passenger cars (premium)	410.6287	280.6166	274.5141	296.2123	44.8343		
Passenger cars (diesel)	241.4406	87.9038	79.9724	107.3278	17.2418		
Bus/mini trucks	40.2163	7.1822	4.4753	7.3762	1.6001		
Trucks	56.0618	34.9662	12.0284	0	0		
Large buses	12.9210	0.8943	1.3894	0	0		
Total	1230.1243	748.5688	601.0088	747.9726	146.4530		

Table	3: Number	of average	emissions	for each	road class
IGNIC	0	oi uvoi ugo	011110010110	ioi ouoii	

Based on the results of traffic counting, as shown in Table 2, and the calculation using IPCC formulas, yielded the average carbon emissions per class road and for each vehicle type. The calculation results of the carbon emissions average for each road class as shown in Table 3. The data was subsequently used to determine the value of the two alternatives SEF based on the inventory data, *i.e.*:

The SEF for each vehicle types, obtained by dividing the value of the emissions average for each road

class with the each type and vehicles density of class road.

$$SEF_{V,i}(\frac{kg CO_2}{vehicle.km}) = \frac{emission \ average_i(\frac{kg CO_2}{km.hr})}{density \ average_i(\frac{vehicle}{hr}) \dots (4)}$$

The SEF for each road class, derived from the sum of carbon emissions average of all contributors types of vehicles on each road class.

$$SEF_{R,i} = \sum_{1}^{n} emission \ average_{V,i} \qquad ...(5)$$

Based on I IPCC 2006	Fuel type,	Alternative 1			Alternative	2
Fuel Types	SEF (kgCO <sub>2</sub> /l)	Vehicle Types	Specific Energy Consumption (I/vehicle.100km)	SEF (kgCO <sub>2</sub> / vehicle.km)	Road Class	SEF (kg CO <sub>2</sub> ⁄ jam.km)
Premium	2,597.86	Motorcycles	2.66	0.069	Primary Artery	1230
		Cars (Premium)	11.79	0.306	Secondary Artery	749
Diesel	2,924.90	Cars (Diesel)	11.36	0.332	Primary Collector	601
		Bus/mini trucks	10.64	0.311	Secondary Collector	748
		Trucks	15.82	0.463	Local	146
		Large buses	16.89	0.494		
IPCC	BPPT in Jir	nca <i>et al.,</i> (2009)	and Yamin <i>et al.,</i> (200	9)Calculation		Calculation

#### Table 4: SEF alternative values based on its data availability

The results of both SEF calculations are summarized in Table 4. Then the SEF data values are used to calculate the estimation of carbon emissions from transportation activities, based on the data availability in an area. If there is a region having a data for each class of road traffic counting, the SEF per vehicle will result in better calculation results. But if the region has only existing data of road class, the carbon emission calculation can be approximated by the SEF per class road. The use of the later emission factor still requires a region constant as a weighting factor that distinguishes one region to another based on the pattern of transportation.

#### Verification of the Emissions Estimation Based on the Ipcc and Both Alternative Methods

The next stage of this research was to perform emission calculations in study areas such as described in Table 1. The emission calculations used 3 methods: IPCC, alternative 1 and alternative 2.

Aroa	Emission Estimation (ton CO <sub>2</sub> /years)		Aroa	Emission Estimation (ton CO <sub>2</sub> /years)			Area	Emission Estimation (ton CO <sub>2</sub> /years)				
Alea -	IPCC	Alt. 1	Alt. 2	Alea	IPCC	Alt. 1	Alt.2	Alea		IPCC	Alt. 1	Alt. 2
1	44.2	48.7	51.4	11	49.4	63.2	57.0	21		51.4	59.6	59.6
2	80.7	86.3	91.4	12	105.8	118.0	121.4	22		51.8	56.5	59.4
3	93.3	94.5	99.0	13	178.8	195.0	205.0	23		49.3	53.9	56.8
4	107.6	114.9	121.4	14	208.5	224.8	245.8	24		141.3	159.5	162.3
5	84.0	101.6	97.8	15	109.6	131.8	129.5	25		127.9	137.9	146.0
6	69.9	82.3	80.5	16	83.4	95.2	97.6	26		151.7	164.2	173.7
7	85.9	91.6	96.5	17	161.4	174.9	185.0	27		51.9	63.3	59.9
8	52.0	60.9	57.1	18	170.3	188.0	198.7	28		95.1	101.6	104.1
9	108.1	112.8	118.7	19	103.8	114.9	120.8	29		105.5	118.5	119.0
10	83.3	98.7	97.7	20	75.4	85.1	89.5	30		164.5	170.6	186.3

#### Table 5: Carbon emissions estimation results by three alternative methods

It is intended to verify the results of the two alternative calculations by the IPCC as a reference. This verification is needed to compare the proximity of both alternative calculation methods with the reference (IPCC). The results of the calculation using the three methods are listed in Table 5, and the proximity of its value is shown in Fig.3.



Fig. 3 : Value of carbon emissions from the three estimation methods

Fig. 3 shows that the emission values generated by the two alternative methods tend to result in higher emission values as compared to the IPCC emission values. However, these values tend to be consistently and fairly close together. To investigate further the results of these two methods, both method values are correlated with the IPCC as shown in Fig. 4 and Fig. 5.



Fig. 4: Correlation of the alternative 1 emission calculation results to IPCC estimates



Fig. 5: Correlation of the alternative 2 emission calculation results to IPCC estimates

Fig. 4 shows the correlation values between by the alternative 1 data with the data calculated by the IPCC. It appears that both values have the same tendency or linear correlation. The value of correlation ( $R^2$ ) for the alternative 1 and the IPCC was 0.990. While the correlation factor for the alternative 2 with the IPCC values are shown in Figure 3. The  $R^2$  values for the alternative 2 and the IPCC was 0.997. Hence, the alternative 2 has a linear correlation value better than the alternative 1. However, both these alternatives provide excellent values and close to the value given by the IPCC. Both of correlation values indicated the priority use for the availability of data.

The calculation of standard errors for the two alternative data correlation with the IPCC gives the following results:

 Alternative 1 provides the results of 112.3 + 4.7 ton CO<sub>2</sub>/years  Alternative 1 provides the results of 116.3 + 2.8 ton CO<sub>2</sub>/years

Thus, both alternative methods of this research can be used to calculate estimates for the carbon emissions from the transportation sector according data availability. If there are sufficient data for all three methods, the priority calculation could use the IPCC method, then the alternative 2 which is based on the number and type of vehicles in operation, and the latter using an alternative 1 which is based on the length and class of roads.

#### Conclusions

Two alternative methods for calculating carbon emissions of the transportation sector can be applied. The alternative 1, the SEF in kg CO<sub>2</sub>/vehicle. km, based on the type and number of vehicles in operation; Alternative 2, the SEF in kg CO<sub>2</sub>/hr.km, based on the length and class of roads. Both of these alternatives provide a good correlation with the results of the IPCC. Both can be used independently in accordance with the availability of existing data in the regions. Alternative 2 provides results that are closer to the IPCC by the correlation value 0.997 with the standard error of 2.8 ton  $CO_2$ /years, as compared to the alternative 1 (the correlation value of 0.990 and a standard error of 4.7 ton  $CO_2$ /years).

#### Acknowledgement

This study goes on support of Ministry of National Education and Higher Education, 2015, Surabaya City Government, 2015, and Research and Community Service Institutions of ITS. All of secondary data is provided by Environmental Agency of Surabaya City Government, accessed in 2015.

#### Funding

The author(s) received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

#### **Conflict of Interest**

The authors do not have any conflict of interest.

#### References

- Modi A, Bhojak N. P. Study the Carbon Emission Around the Globe with Special Reference to India. *Current World Environ*. 2013;8(3) 429 – 433.
- Akhtar S, Sahibzada N. I, Saif S, Inayat S, Ahmad S. R. Carbon Footprint of a Beverage Bottling Plant in Lahore, Pakistan. *Current World Environ.* 2017;12(2) 222 – 230.
- Directorate General of Oil And Gas. *Oil and Gas Statistic 2016*. Ministry of Energy and Mineral Resources, 2016; 50 51.
- 4. Indonesia Ministry of Environment. *Report, Indonesian Environmental Status year 2007,* KLH-Jakarta. 2008;XXV
- 5. Act of the Republic Indonesia No 38 year 2004 about Road. President of Indonesia. 2004
- Regmi, M. B., & Hanaoka, S. A Framework to Evaluate Carbon Emissions from Freight Transport and Policies to Reduce CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions through Mode Shift in Asia. Tokyo 152-8550, Japan: Department of International Development Engineering, Graduate School of Science and Engineering, Tokyo Institute

of Technology. 2011.

- Eggleston S. L. IPCC guidelines for national greenhouse gas. Hayama, Japan: Institute for Global Environmental Strategies. 2006.
- 8. IPCC. Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories. Geneva: IPCC. 2006.
- Angelakogluo K, Gaidajis G, Lymperopoulos K, Botsaris P. N. Carbon Footprint Analysis of Municipalities – Evidence from Greece. *Journal of Engineering Science and Technology Review.* 2015;8(4) 15 – 23.
- Radu A. L, Scrieciu M. A, Caracota D. M. Carbon Footprint Analysis: Toward a Project Evaluation Model for Promoting Sustainable Development. Int. Economic Conf. of Sibiu 2013. Elsevier, Procedia Economics and Finance. 2013; 6 353 – 363.
- Dunlap R. A. A Simple and Objective Carbon Footprint Analysis for Alternative Transportation Technologies. *Energy and Environment Research*. 2013; 3(1) 33 – 39.
- 12. Armstrong J. M. and Khan A.M. Modelling Urban Transportation Emission: Role of GIS.

Elsevier Journal of Computer, Environment, and Urban System. 2004; 28(4) 421 – 433.

13. Assomadi A.F, Widodo B, Hermana J. The Kinetic Approach of NOx Photoreaction

Related to Ground Measurement of Solar Radiation in Estimates of Surface Ozone Concentration. *International Journal of ChemTech Research*. 2016; 9(7)182 – 190.