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ABSTRACT

Geomorphologically inaccessible mighty mountain ranges of Karakoram, Hindukush, Himalaya and Pamir have been mother sanctuaries for several ecologically attuned civilizations. Modern changes underway across ethnoecological and ethnobotanical settings among these mountain traditional communities have drastically depreciated folk wisdom and ecological equilibrium. Ethno-climatic agencies have threatened several species and Berberis pseudumbellata subsp. gilgitica has become critically endangered. Present study was an attempt to discover ethnobotanical insights and exploration of threatening factors affecting Berberis species. Survey (n=373) revealed that communities use Berberis meeting various purposes including medicinal (92.2%; SE±0.057; 0.409 MT/annum-a), firewood (19.3%; SE±37.375; 6.589 MT/a), commercial (2.41%; SE±1.692; 0.048 MT/a), cultural (2.41%), fodder (16.08%; SE±11.474; 8.724 MT/a), fencing (19.03%; SE±6.895; 3.352 MT/a) and grazing (100%; SE±1.035). Data was analyzed using Pearson correlational coefficient, student t-test and descriptive statistical tools. Study exhibits highly significant relationship ($p<0.000$) among different age groups, ethnomedicinal uses and conservation status of Berberis.
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INTRODUCTION

Indigenous communities around the world are a great source of advancement in health care for humanity (Basak et al. 2010; Muthu et al. 2006; Makkar et al. 2007). Ethnobotany compliments ethnopharmaceutical practices which have enhancing effect on advancement of modern medicine used to treat most pressing medical issues faced by the humanity today (Sheng-Ji 2001). Berberis is one of the important medicinal plant used as a very common ingredient in herbal medication systems viz; Eastern, Ayurvedic, Unani and modern system of medicines (Sing et al., 2008, Chopra et al., 1981; Chandra and Purohit, 1980). It has been reported useful in treatment of cancer, diabetes, jaundice, enlargement of spleen, AIDS, osteoporosis, cardiovascular ailments, ocular trachoma, hypertension, infectious diseases, cholera, diarrhea, dysentery, eye troubles, leprosy and bone fractures etc. (Khan et al., 2013; Sing et al., 2008; Asif et al., 2007; Fatehi et al., 2005; Caraballo et al., 2004; Kuo et al., 2004; Villinski et al., 2003; Janbaza and Gilanib, 2000; Hwang et al., 2002; Ivanoska and Philipov, 1997; Koo and Seang, 1996; Chopra et al., 1981; Chandra and Purohit, 1980).

Berberidaceae is a small family of woody dicotyledonous plants comprising upon c. 15 genera, c. 13 genera are considered established. Genus Berberis is the most prominent among all other having approximately 850 species (Khan et al. 2014; Perveen and Qaiser 2010; Bottini et al. 2007; Duke et al. 2002; Loconte, 1993; Loconte, 1984). Members of genus Berberis are widely distributed in the Northern Hemisphere (Perveen and Qaiser 2010; Loconte,
1993). There are 29 Berberis species have been identified from Pakistan. Berberis pseudumbellata subsp. gigitica is endemic to Gilgit-Baltistan and has become critically endangered (Khan et al. 2014; Alam and Ali 2010). Different ethno-lingual races in the study area use two local names commonly to call Berberis i.e. ‘Ishkeen and Churkuye’. Almost 70-75% population calls it ‘Ishkeen’. Shina language is widely spoken language in the area.

Inventorying of ethnobotanically valuable flora is an important first step to understand the ethnomedicinal and socio-cultural significance of plant species (El-Hilaly et al. 2003; Sheng-Ji 2001; Phillips and Gentry 1993; Sher and Hussain 2009). However, detailed investigation of each plant species would reveal more important insights in relation to socio-cultural, ecological, religious and commercial aspects (Deil et al. 2005; Taylor et al. 2001). Such a detailed study of individual species may enable development and academic communities to exploit them wisely to impact poverty, market marginalization and potential advancement in creation of alternatives towards health care practices (Garrity 2004; Roe and Elliott 2004; Scherl 2004; Reynolds et al. 2005).

Plant-human interaction around these naturally variegated valleys is an integrally intertwined and interwoven aspect of rural lives. It is therefore, traditional communities are mostly dependent on the natural resources for meeting their medicinal, food, shelter, economic and other livelihood purposes (Ali et al. 2008; Khan and Khatoon 2007; Pie and Manandhar 1987). Ethnobotanical investigations are important not only for health care but also critical to establish and continue an ecological balance between human and nature (Balée 1994; Gómez Baggethun et al. 2010).

A growing number of ethnobotanists and anthropologists have univocally reported fast erosion of ethnobotanical folk wisdom around the world (Khan et al. 2013a; Sheng-Ji 2001; Begossi et al. 2002; Case et al. 2005; Lozada et al. 2006; Monteiro et al. 2006; Ferguson and Messier 1997; Pieroni et al. 2004; Shanley and Rosa 2004; Anderson 2005; Zent 2001; Turner and Turner 2008; Khan et al. 2013; Hamayaun 2005). According to Hocking (1958) people among these traditional communities were 84% dependent on their indigenous folk medication practices. However, Khan et al. (2013) reported that in Hunza Valley people have lost ethnomedication practices and only a minor fragment (1.4%) use to practice.

Present study was aimed at documentation and exploration of perceptions, practices and other ethnobotanical prospects of Berberis species among these agro-pastoral communes living in Karakoram Mountain Ranges. In present scenario, these societies need special attention to explore them scientifically which has never been realized before (Qureshi et al., 2006). Any delay on this part can lead to their annihilation in the hands of weathering forces of globalization.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Geo-cultural landscape of study area:

Study focused 27 villages across western part of Central Karakoram National Park (CKNP). These villages were grouped into three major valleys viz; Bagrot, Rahimabad-Naltar and Rakaposhi (Nagar). CKNP was declared as National Park in 1993. It is situated in Gilgit-Baltistan, Pakistan and stretches across four administrative districts: Hunza-Nagar, Gilgit, Skardu, and Ghanche. It is the largest protected area (10,000 km²) in Pakistan having biggest glacial mass in the world outside poles. It extends over 35°N to 36.5°N Latitude and from 74°E to 77°E Longitude (Khan et al. 2014).

Area is inhabited by 16 major tribes speaking four different languages of distinct origins i.e. Shina, Brushaski, Gujari, Domaki. Settlements start from the river bed to sub-alpine zones (1300 m to 3500 m above sea level). Most recently, most of the area is accessible by jeep connected through link roads or pony tracts. However, more than 13 villages have become connected with Karakoram Highway constructed in seventies (1970s).

Data collection and sampling

Sample frame was consisting upon three (3) major valleys stretched over 27 villages with 5480 households. A total of 52,048 souls are living in the study area. Population is living in small and medium sized settlements (villages) with dense or sparse distribution.
Using following mathematical calculations and sample size (ss) calculator, a total of 382 (male 197, 52.81%; female 176, 47.18%) sample size was calculated. However, during the course of study only 373 individuals were interviewed. This makes 97.6% of the total sample size drawn. Sample size (both male and female) was divided into three age groups each making a total of six (6) different groups i.e. below thirty (d’30 = 130, 34.85%), in-between 31 and 60 (e’31d’60 = 143, 38.33%) and above 61 (e’61 = 100, 26.80%).

\[
ss = \frac{Z^2 \cdot p \cdot (1-p)}{c^2}
\]

Where \( Z = Z \) value (e.g. 1.96 for 95% confidence level); \( p \) = percentage picking a choice, expressed as decimal (0.5 used for sample size needed); \( c \) = confidence interval, expressed as decimal (e.g., 0.04 = ±4)

**Sampling type**

During the survey, stratified random sampling technique was employed across purposefully categorized geographic, gender and age strata.

**Data collection**

A detailed structured instrument was used to collect uniform data from different strata of sample. Personal observations were also recorded to supplement the questionnaire data collection (Kvist et al. 2001). Questionnaire was oriented to maximize quantification of responses (Fraser and Junqueira 2010).

**Data processing and analysis**

Data gathered using instrument was digitized into MS Excel 2010 and then transferred to SPSS v.16.1. Various descriptive and inferential techniques were applied to analyze data including frequencies, standard error of mean, Pearson correlational coefficient, student t-test and regression analysis were performed.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Ethnobotanical use categories**

Communities in the study area use Berberis for various reasons and purposes. These needs can be categorized into at least six (6) broad classes viz; medicinal, firewood, fencing, grazing, fodder, commercial and cultural. Culture use in negligible and infrequent (n=9; 2.41%). Research did not find any use of Berberis for construction. Details of remaining five categories are given below;

**Quantities used as medicine**

Respondents identified 28 distinct quantities used differentially as medicine. Most frequent annually used quantities are; 1.4 kg (n=119, 33.9 %), 1.0 kg (n=54, 15.5%), 0.25 kg (n=47, 13.4%) and 0.5 kg (n=25, 7.1%). Remaining 24 varied categories ranging from 0.019 kg to 6.8 kg, which are uncommon. On an average each household in the area uses 1.17 kg annually (fig. 2).

**Quantities used as firewood**

During the study 19.3% (n=72) responded to the question regarding Berberis quantities use for firewood purpose at household level. Respondents mentioned 11 different quantities i.e. 8, 10, 15, 20, 24, 30, 32, 35, 36, 82.8 and 1600. Among these 11 quantitative categories, 36 kg (n=24, 6.4% and valid % = 33.3) is the most frequent quantity used among the communities followed by 10 kg (n=19, 5.1% and valid % = 26.4) and 15 kg (n=9, 2.4% and valid % =12.5). Remaining quantitative categories used have less than 1% (n d” 3, and valid % d” 4.2) for each. Data representation shows that people most commonly use quantities in-between 10 kg and 36 kg for their fire purpose at houses (Fig. 3). In the valley of Bagrot a total of 2244.8 kg (2.244 MT, 34.02%) is used as firewood at household annually. Similarly, in Rahimabad-Naltar total quantity is 4311.6 kg (4.311 MT, 65.34%) and in Rakaposhi it is only 42 kg (0.63%).

**Quantities sold annually**

Out of total (n=373) respondents, only 2.4% (n=9) interviewee replied to the question ‘what quantities of Berberis they sell every year on an average?’ 97.6% (n= 364) left this question without any reply, which was considered as missing data when processed using SPSS for calculations and analysis. These mottled quantities range in-between 1 kg and 12 kg. Moreover, these quantities are categorized into three (3) groups i.e. 1 kg, 3 kg and 12 kg. Out of total respondents which are more or less involve in sale of Berberis hold equal share of
only 0.8% (n=3). A total sale during 2012-13 was only 48 kg. Among three major valleys, Bagrot 16 kg (33.33%) and Rahimabad-Naltar 32 kg (66.67%). This study did not record any sale in Nagar Valley.

Quantities used as fodder
During the study only 16.1% (n=60) responded to the question. Amongst different quantities used, 150 kg (n=21, 35.0%), 180 kgs (n=6, 10%) and 300 kgs (n=6, 10%) per annum. Remaining categories were less common used by only 5% (n=3) each. A total of 8724 kg (8.724 MT) Berberis is collected every year for fodder purpose. Every year in Bagrot, 2908 kg (2.908 MT, 33.33%) and Rahimabad-Naltar 5486 kg (5.486 MT; 62.88%) is being collected. Nagar (Rakaposhi) valley as compared to others shows a least trend of using Berberis as fodder i.e. 330 kg (3.78%) annually.

Statistical presentation shows a positive but very weak correlation between fodder quantitative ranks and their use frequency. Communities more frequently use quantities ranging between 150-300 kg per annum (Fig. 5).

Quantities used as fencing
Berberis use for fencing purpose is one of the major consumption sites. Out of total sample size (n=373) only 19.0% (n=71) responded on the question about Berberis quantities used for fencing. Ten different quantities so expressed range between 12 kg and 300 kg. Among all 20 kg (n=26, 7.0%; Valid percent 36.6%) is the most frequent consumption quantity followed by 72 kg (n=15, 4.0%; Valid percent 21.1%), 25 kg (n=9, 2.4%; Valid percent 12.7%) and 15 kg (n=5, 1.3%; Valid percent 11.3%). Remaining six (6) categories showed lesser frequency (< n= 3,
< 1.0%; Valid percent < 4.2%) of use. A total of 3352 kg (3.352 MT) is used annually in the area. Each major valley has a varied consumption of Berberis for fencing annually. Rahimabad-Naltar showed highest quantities used for this purpose (1680 kg = 1.68 MT; 50.12%) followed by Bagrot Valley with second highest quantity (1164 kg = 1.164 MT; 34.73%). Nagar (Rakaposhi) Valley showed the least utilization of Berberis for fencing with a per annum quantity of 508 kg (0.508 MT; 15.16%).

Analysis shows a declining linear trend between consumption categories and their respective percentage frequency utilization. Moreover, there is a weak relationship between the two aspects of the parameter under discussion (Fig. 6).

Population dynamics
For the last fifty (50) years, there is no change in Berberis population (n=200, 53.6%). However, 30.3% (n=113) still believe that population has changed. Furthermore, 16.1% (n=60) people told that they do not know whether it has changed or not.

Change direction
Out of change believers 12.9% (n=48) told that population has decreased significantly (Fig. 7). However, 14.5% (n=54) favor a slight decreased. Whereas, 2.1% (n=8) interviewee told that the population has increased significantly, for 1.6% (n=6) there is a slight increase in the population.

Population change factors
Only 27.9% (n=104) respondents said that plantation (n=6: 1.6%) has healthy contribution towards population growth. However, commercialization (n=21: 5.6%), over grazing (n=23: 6.2%), development activities (n=32: 8.6%), medicinal exploitation (n=6: 1.6%) and other (n=16: 4.3%) factors have negative impact. Population change factor analysis shows that developmental activities are the most ruinous factor followed by over grazing and commercialization, to name top three ones. This change has occurred across all varieties (general) and not to a specific variety. Moreover, this change is 94.23% unhealthy and only 5.76% healthy (Fig. 7).
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