Anaerobic Co-Digestion of Mixed Kitchen Wastes and Buffalo Dung

PRADIP B. ACHARYA* AND PRATEEK SHILPKAR

Biogas Research and Extension Centre and Department of Microbiology, Gujarat Vidyapith, Sadara, District Gandhinagar, Gujarat- 382320, India.

http://dx.doi.org/10.12944/CWE.9.3.51

(Received: November 04, 2014; Accepted: November 22, 2014)

ABSTRACT

Kitchen is the important part of house which take cares about the health of family members. It also generates organic wastes which are generally dumped. On the other hand many people felt shortage of animal dung for biogas production. This study is an attempt to find out the way between these situations by finding the suitability of kitchen wastes addition for biogas production. The experiment was performed in 5L glass bottles with two treatments i.e. buffalo dung alone and mixture of dung with kitchen wastes. The bottles were filled on daily feeding basis by the feeding material at 5.3% total solids for the experimentation period of 80 days. On first day 12mL fresh digested biogas slurry from running biogas plant was also added in all the digesters as inoculum. Daily biogas production was measured by water displacement method. Results show that co-digestion of dung and kitchen wastes produces 85.71 to 195.12% higher biogas than dung alone. Overall it produces 2.69 % higher total biogas than buffalo dung alone for the experimentation period of 80 days.

Key words: Biogas production, Supplementation, Waste management.

INTRODUCTION

A huge amount of plant and animal origin organic wastes are being generated daily throughout the world whose management is very difficult because improper management will produces foul odor and pollutants which causes an ill effect on health of living beings. Nature put microorganisms in almost everywhere which has potential to degrade them and utilize for their growth. Some microorganisms are aerobic whereas some are anaerobic and it was found by research that anaerobic digestion is superior to aerobic process because it requires low input cost, easy in operation and produces biogas also.1-4 The process was initially used to digest animal dung because it was available in huge amount. Slowly many plant and industrial wastes were tried successfully.5-7 Kitchen wastes can be used for biogas production.8 Present laboratory study was undertaken to find out the suitability of co-digestion of mixed kitchen wastes with buffalo dung for biogas production.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Buffalo dung was procured locally and mixed kitchen waste was collected from mess of our centre. This mixed kitchen wastes contains Bhakhri, cooked rice and boiled potato and they were mixed in the amount of 2.5g, 5.0g and 5.0g. This mixed kitchen waste was mixed with 20.0g dung to maintain 5.3% total solids concentration. A total of six digester sets of 5L were prepared each connected with one gas holder and water displacement bottle of 2L. All the joints were made airtight and to fill the digesters upto 4.8L in 40 days (Hydraulic Retention Time for Gujarat) 120mL mixture of 40g dung and 80mL water was added daily. After 40 days when the digesters were filled upto the mark then biogas production was measured by water displacement method. The feeding remains continue for next 10 days. From 51st day when the biogas production becomes stable, three digesters were fed with mixture containing 20g dung, 12.5g mixed kitchen wastes and 87.5mL water to maintain its total solids concentration to

5.3%. Remaining three digesters were fed by usual practice of 120mL containing 40g dung and 80 mL water. To maintain the level of feeding material inside the bottle 120mL digested slurry was taken out daily. Now the experiment was run for next 30 days and biogas production was measured daily.

Table. 1: Daily average biogas production (mL per day) in both the treatments receiving dung alone and co-digestion of dung with kitchen wastes

Days Bio	ogas producti	treatment	
	Dung only	Co-digestion	Tab
Average biogas	2100	2100	product
production during 41-50 days			Sr no.
(stable condition)			
51	2100	3900	1
52	2100	4400	2
53	2100	4850	2
54	2050	5150	4
55	2100	5400	4 5
56	2000	5600	5 6
57	2050	5750	0 7
58	2100	5850	
59	2100	5950	8 9
60	2100	6000	9 10
61	2100	6000	10
62	2100	6000	12
63	2150	5950	12
64	2100	6000	13
65	2100	6000	14
66	2050	6050	15
67	2100	6050	10
68	2100	6000	
69	2100	6000	18
70	2100	6000	19
71	2150	6000	20
72	2100	6000	21
73	2100	5950	22
74	2100	5950	23
75	2150	6000	24
76	2100	6000	25
77	2100	6000	26
78	2100	6000	27
79	2100	6000	28
80	2100	6000	29
Total	65000	174900	30

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Biogas production by dung alone in the digesters was 2100mL per day on majority of days and fluctuates between 2050 and 2150mL. During the whole experimental period of 80 days a total of 65000mL biogas was produced in it (Table 1). When a portion of dung was replaced by mixed kitchen wastes on 51st day then from the first day itself its positive effect on biogas production was observed which increased by 85.71% (Table 1, 2). With time this difference in biogas production between two treatments increased further and reached to a

Table. 2: Percent increase in biogasproduction in co-digestion over dung alone

2100	productio	production in co digestion over during alone			
	Sr no.	Days	% increase in biogas production		
3900	1	51	85.71		
4400	2	52	109.71		
4850	3	53	130.95		
5150	4	54	151.22		
5400	5	55	157.14		
5600	6	56	180.00		
5750	7	57	180.49		
5850	8	58	178.57		
5950	9	59	183.33		
6000	10	60	185.71		
6000	11	61	185.71		
6000	12	62	185.71		
5950	13	63	176.74		
6000 6000	14	64	185.71		
6050	15	65	185.71		
6050	16	66	195.12		
6000	17	67	188.09		
6000	18	68	185.71		
6000	19	69	185.71		
6000	20	70	185.71		
6000	21	71	179.07		
5950	22	72	185.71		
5950	23	73	183.33		
6000	24	74	183.33		
6000	25	75	179.07		
6000	26	76	185.71		
6000	27	77	185.71		
6000	28	78	185.71		
6000	29	79	185.71		
174900	30	80	185.71		

maximum of 195.12% in favor of co-digestion. During the whole experimental period a total of 174900mL of biogas was produced in co-digestion treatment which was 2.69% higher than that of dung alone (Table 1). Since in this experiment all other conditions were kept same for both the treatments, hence the increase in biogas production in co-digestion may be due to increased bacterial activity only. We know that bacterial activity increased due to increased nutrients supply. Kitchen wastes contain more nutrients than dung and hence its addition supplied more nutrients to microorganisms. Higher biogas production in co-digestion of feeding materials was also reported previously. ⁹⁻¹¹

CONCLUSION

Results of the study show that kitchen wastes can be used along with buffalo dung for biogas production and their addition in said proportion resulted in 2.69% higher biogas.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are hearty thankful to Prof. S.R. Dave, Head, Microbiology & Biotechnology Department, Gujarat University, Ahmedabad, Gujarat for providing continuous academic support and guiding to conduct this research successfully.

REFERENCES

- 1. Bouallagui H., Ben C.R., Marouani L. and Hamdi M., *Bioresource Techn.*, **86**, 85-89, (2003).
- 2. Uemura S. and Harada H., *Bioresource Techn.*, **72**, 275-282, (2000).
- Gosavi P. G., Mirashi A.S., Waghmare A. S. and Singh R., *J. Environ. Res. Develop.*, 4(3), 695-704, (2010).
- Milan Z., Sanchez E., Weiland P., Borja R., Martin A. and Ilangovan K., *Bioresource Tech.*, 80, 37-43, (2001).
- Lastella, G., Testa, C., Cornacchia, G., Notornicola, M., Voltasio, F., and Sharma, V. K. *Energy Convers. Manage*, 43, 63–75 (2002).
- 6. Gallert, C., Henning, A, and Winter, *J. Water Res.*, **37**, 1433–1441 (2003).

- Choi, D.W., Lee, W.G., Lim, S. J., Kim, B. J., Chang, H. N., and Chang, S. T. *Biotechnol. Bioprocess Eng.*, 8, 23–31 (2003).
- Beno Z., Boran J., Houdkova L., Dalabaja T. and Sopnar J. *Chem. Eng. Trans.*, **18**, 677-682, (2009).
- Chellapandi, P., Prabaharan, D. and Laxmanan, U. *EurAsian Journal of Biosciences*, 2: 110-114, (2008)
- Amirhossein, M, Noor E, and Sharom, Z. Anaerobic co-digestion of kitchen waste and sewage sludge for producing biogas. In: 2nd International Conference on Environment Management, Bangi. (2004)
- Elijah, I, Lbifuro, M., and Yahaya, M. Scientific Research and Essays, 4 (9): 861-866 (2009).